IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4094 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 597 OF 2005

Madhav Laxman Pawar ...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr. Sudatta Patil, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. D.P. Adsule, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 1ST JULY, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard both sides.

2. The applicant has been convicted under Section 7, 13(1)(d) r.w. 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. The maximum sentence imposed on the applicant is one year.

3. It is stated by the learned advocate for the applicant that the applicant was on bail pending the trial and this liberty has not been misused by him. This statement has not been controverted by the prosecution. It is further submitted by the learned advocate for the applicant that the maximum sentence

imposed on the applicant is one year and the appeal is not likely to be heard within the said time. Hence, he has prayed that the applicant may be granted bail. Looking to all these facts and circumstances, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant.

4. Onthe fine amount being paid, the applicant Madhav Laxman Pawar be released on bail in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one or two sureties to make up the said amount.

5. Application is disposed of.

*****